Band 1: Status

Hiroshi Nagai (NAOJ)

What's Band 1?

- The lowest frequency band covering 35-50 GHz.
- Ongoing EA Development Project.
- The Band 1 receivers are developed and tested by the ASIAA in Taiwan in collaboration with the NAOJ.
- After some delay due to the COVID-19, receiver shipment and integration to the ALMA antennas started in March 2021.
- ~30 antennas equipped with Band 1 receiver are on the site and under testing.





Band 1 Science

- Cold molecular ISM through important lines, such as SiO, CS, CH3OH, HDO, recombination lines, etc.
- Redshifted CO lines:
 - CO(1-0) at z=2, CO(2-1) at z=4-5, CO(3-2) at z=6-9
- Probing a large range of energy regime through F-F, synchrotron, dust emission.
- Dust grain growth from millimeter to centimeter-sized pebbles.
- S-Z effect, and more. See talks by Hsi-Wei and Jorge.
- ALMA Band 1 can provide comparable sensitivity to JVLA, and will have more chance of observations because of the site advantage.





Modified from original - Scientific plot removed

JVLA vs. ALMA

System Equivalent Flux Density (SEFD) = 5.62 Tsys/(antenna aperture efficiency)



JVLA

JVLA vs. ALMA



Fig. 7.— Images from JVLA and ALMA observations simulated with CASA. The observations were set toward a "blank" sky at 45 GHz with 8 GHz (continuum) bandwidth, with JVLA in its D-configuration while ALMA in its "12" configuration provided in CASA. Both array configurations give rise to a similar angular resolution of ~1".6 FWHM. The white dotted circles denote the corresponding primary beam sizes. There resulting 1 σ rms noise levels after 2 hours of on-source integration are 9.6 μ Jy and 4.5 μ Jy, respectively, for JVLA and ALMA, which are in general agreement with the estimated noise level shown in Table 2.

JVLA vs. ALMA



Di Francesco+ 2013

Fig. 9.— Images from CASA simulations of observations of extended 45 GHz emission with the JVLA and ALMA. The left-hand panels show the model image (a superposition of the G41.1-0.3.b template provided by the CASA guide with three extended Gaussian sources (two 18" in size and one 48" in size) convolved with the synthesized beams. The middle panels show the resulting images from the simulations. The right-hand panels show the difference between the model and observation images. Both simulated observations were executed with one hour of on-source time in total toward the zenith. The ALMA and JVLA are assumed to be in their "12" and "D" configurations (both provided in CASA), respectively, which resulted in similar synthesized beam sizes of $1.7" \times 1.7"$. The achieved noise level by ALMA is around five times better than that by JVLA (i.e., 10 μ Jy beam⁻¹ for ALMA vs. 50 μ Jy beam⁻¹ for JVLA). Observation overheads (e.g., calibration scans) and phase decoherence due to site location were not included in the simulations, both of which will lead to greater degradation in the JVLA images.

Anticipated Band 1 Observing Modes in Cycle 10

- 12m array only (no 7m/TP)
- Stokes I only (no Stokes Q/U/V)
- TDM (low spectral resolution), FDM (high spectral resolution), spectral scan, Mosaic/multiple pointing

Preliminary!!!

- Band 1 PI observations will start when at least 41 antennas have been ready.
 - Expected timeline: March 2024
 - C1-C6 configurations will be visited.
- Band 1 VLBI/Phased array is TBD.

Angular resolution, MRS, FoV

Configuration		Frequency 40 GHz
C-1	Resolution	8.45
	MRS	71.25
C-2	Resolution	5.75
	MRS	56.50
C-3	Resolution	3.55
	MRS	40.50
C-4	Resolution	2.30
	MRS	28.00
C-5	Resolution	1.38
	MRS	16.75
C-6	Resolution	0.78
	MRS	10.28

Primary beam width / FoV = 145" at 40 GHz

Notes for Proposers

- Fractional bandwidth of Band 1 ($\Delta v/v$) is largest in the ALMA bands.
 - Take notice on the difference in angular resolution/MRS/FoV between spectral windows. At most ~15% difference between spw0 and spw3 for 1.875GHz bandwidth.
- Flux calibration accuracy is TBD.
 - The main issue is that quasars (flux calibrators) show a curvature in their spectra and therefore simple extrapolation from higher bands with single power law will not work.
 - We are currently testing quasar flux monitoring in Band 1.
- Data processing
 - Pipeline processing by default.
 - Higher-order continuum fitting (fitorder>1 in uvcontsub) and imaging (nterms>2 in tclean) are not supported in the Cycle 10 Pipeline. Those data will be reduced by manual (particular for the curvy continuum by f-f + dust).

Science Verification

- SV campaign is being planned in 2023.
- Demonstration of continuum and spectral line observation capability in Band 1.
- The data will be public with data reduction/imaging script through the ALMA Science Portal.

Science Verification (ALMA Science Portal)

